

This entry reproduces with minor amendments the original abstract or equivalent of a document collected by Drug and Alcohol Findings. Findings collects any scientific or UK policy document relating to evaluations of drug or alcohol interventions or to the interpretation and use of their findings. Unlike documents selected for fuller analysis, its citation here does not imply that this document is particularly relevant to Britain and of particular merit (though it may well be both) and no attempt has been made to validate the facts or interpretations given by the authors or to offer a critical commentary. The original document was not published by Findings; click on the Title to obtain copies. Free reprints may also be available from the authors – click Request reprint to send or adapt the pre-prepared e-mail message. Links to source documents are in blue. Hover mouse over orange text for explanatory notes.

Click HERE and enter e-mail address to be alerted to new studies and reviews

▶ Outpatient versus inpatient opioid detoxification: a randomized controlled trial.

Day E., Strang J. Request reprint

Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment: 2011, 40, p. 56-66.

Methadone maintained heroin-dependent patients in Birmingham (UK) randomised to inpatient v. outpatient lofexidine-based detoxification rarely sustained long-term opioid abstinence; return to maintenance was the typical outcome.

Original abstract Opioid detoxification is not an effective stand-alone treatment for heroin dependence but is nevertheless an essential step in the path to recovery. There has been relatively little previous controlled research on the impact of treatment setting on the likelihood of successful completion of detoxification. In this study, 68 opioiddependent patients receiving community treatment (predominantly with methadone) and requesting detoxification were randomly assigned to an inpatient versus outpatient setting. Both groups received the same medication (lofexidine), and the primary outcome measure was being opioid-free at detoxification completion. More inpatients (8, 51.4%) than outpatients (2, 36.4%) completed detoxification, but this difference was not statistically significant. However, the outpatient group received a significantly longer period of medication, and when the length of detoxification was controlled for, the results significantly favoured the inpatient setting. Only 11 (16%) participants were opioid-free at the 1-month follow-up and 8 at the 6-month follow-up, with no between-group difference. Inpatient and outpatient opioid detoxification settings were not significantly different in completion or follow-up abstinence rates, but aspects of the study design may have favoured the outpatient setting. Future studies should test patient characteristics that predict better outcomes in each setting.

Last revised 04 February 2011

▶ Comment on this entry → Give us your feedback on the site (one-minute survey)

Top 10 most closely related documents on this site. For more try a subject or

free text search

Naltrexone implants after in-patient treatment for opioid dependence: randomised controlled trial STUDY 2009

The SUMMIT Trial: a field comparison of buprenorphine versus methadone maintenance treatment STUDY 2010

The Drug Treatment Outcomes Research Study (DTORS): final outcomes report STUDY 2009

High risk of overdose death for opiate detoxification completers NUGGET 2008

Opiate detoxification: spending more may save long term NUGGET 2001

Lofexidine safe and effective in opiate detoxification NUGGET 2003

The grand design: lessons from DATOS KEY STUDY 2002

Maintenance treatment with buprenorphine and naltrexone for heroin dependence in Malaysia: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial STUDY 2008

Rapid detoxification facilitates transfer to naltrexone but gains fade NUGGETTE 2004

Pharmacotherapies for the treatment of opioid dependence: efficacy, cost-effectiveness and implementation quidelines REVIEW 2009