

This entry reproduces with minor amendments the original abstract or equivalent of a document collected by Drug and Alcohol Findings. Findings collects any scientific or UK policy document relating to evaluations of drug or alcohol interventions or to the interpretation and use of their findings. Unlike documents selected for fuller analysis, its citation here does not imply that this document is particularly relevant to Britain and of particular merit (though it may well be both) and no attempt has been made to validate the facts or interpretations given by the authors or to offer a critical commentary. The original document was not published by Findings; click on the Title to obtain copies. Free reprints may also be available from the authors – click Request reprint to send or adapt the pre-prepared e-mail message. Links to source documents are in blue. Hover mouse over orange text for explanatory notes.

Click HERE and enter e-mail address to be alerted to new studies and reviews

▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Attachment style.

Levy K.N., Ellison W.D., Scott L.N. et al. Request reprint Journal of Clinical Psychology: 2011, 67(2), p. 193–203.

Meta-analytic review commissioned by a US task force concludes that psychotherapy patients who feel secure in and easily form close and trusting intimate relationships have better outcomes, while the reverse is the case for those anxious about close relationships.

Original abstract Attachment theory, developed by Bowlby to explain human bonding, has profound implications for conducting and adapting psychotherapy. We summarise the prevailing definitions and measures of attachment style. We review the results of three meta-analyses examining the associations between the outcomes of psychotherapy and attachment styles characterised by anxiety about one's close relationships, avoidance, or security. Fourteen studies of 19 separate therapy cohorts were synthesised, a combined sample size of 1467. Attachment anxiety was associated with worse post-therapy outcomes (an effect size of -0.46), while attachment security was related to better outcomes (an effect size of 0.37). Both were medium-size relations and statistically significant. In contrast, attachment avoidance evinced a negligible overall relation to outcomes. Overall in these 14 studies, clients' attachment styles appeared to contribute almost as much variance to psychotherapy outcomes as does the alliance between client and therapist, a well-established predictor of therapeutic change.

The age and gender composition of the patients moderated the relation between attachment security and outcome: samples with a higher proportion of female clients and a higher mean age showed a smaller relation between attachment security and outcome.

One limitation of our meta-analyses was that we could not control for the relation between attachment and *pre*-treatment functioning. This raises the possibility that any association between attachment and post-treatment functioning may, to some degree, merely reflect the relation between attachment and pre-treatment functioning rather than any differential impact of therapy.

We discuss the practice implications of our findings and related research. Among these are that therapists should assess the patient's attachment style because this can influence the psychotherapy process, the responses both of patients and therapists, the quality of the therapeutic alliance, and the ultimate outcomes of treatment. Formal interviewing or use of reliable self-report measures can be useful as part of the assessment process. Knowledge of the patient's attachment style can help the therapist anticipate how they may respond to the therapist's interventions and guide the therapist in calibrating to the patient's interpersonal style. For example, if the patient is dismissing in his or her attachment, then the therapist may need to be more engaged. In contrast, if the patient is preoccupied in his or her attachment, then the therapist should consider a stance designed to help the patient contain his or her emotional experiences.

FINDINGS This article was in a special issue of the *Journal of Clinical Psychology* devoted to adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient. For other Findings entries from this issue, see:

- ▶ What works for whom: tailoring psychotherapy to the person
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Stages of change
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Preferences
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Culture
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Coping style
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Resistance/reactance level
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Expectations
- ▶ Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Religion and spirituality

Last revised 09 March 2011

▶ Comment on this entry • ▶ Give us your feedback on the site (one-minute survey)

Top 10 most closely related documents on this site. For more try a subject or free text search

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Coping style ABSTRACT 2011

What works for whom: tailoring psychotherapy to the person ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Expectations ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Resistance/reactance level ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Religion and spirituality ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Preferences ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Culture ABSTRACT 2011

Adapting psychotherapy to the individual patient: Stages of change ABSTRACT 2011

A meta-analysis of motivational interviewing: twenty-five years of empirical studies REVIEW 2010

Style not content key to matching patients to therapeutic approaches NUGGET 2008