This entry is for a study added to the Effectiveness Bank but not (or not yet) fully analysed. Usually the entry consists only of the reference and if available the original abstract with no comments or material changes. The original study was not published by Findings; click Title to order acopy. Free reprints may be available from the authors – click prepared e-mail. Links to other documents. Hover over for notes. Click to highlight passage referred to. Unfold extra text ...



DOWNLOAD PDF

▶ Title and link for copying ▶ Comment/query to editor ▶ Tweet

▶ Screen of Drug Use: diagnostic accuracy of a new brief tool for primary care.

Tiet Q.Q., Leyva Y.E., Moos R.H. et al. JAMA Internal Medicine: 2015, 175(8), p. 1371–1377.

your compute

Unable to obtain a copy by clicking title? Try asking the author for a reprint by adapting this prepared e-mail or by writing to Dr Tiet at quyen.tiet@va.gov.

Just two simple questions (and often just one) correctly identified around 9 in 10 patients with drug use problems among a US primary care sample.

SUMMARY Primary care provides an ideal setting in which to screen not just for risky drinking, but also for drug use disorders and negative consequences of drug use. However, screening questionnaires and interviews intended to quickly signal whether these problems are present have been found by comprehensive reviews to be inappropriate for routine use in primary care.

This US study set out to develop and validate a screening instrument for drug use suitable for primary care settings. Items from existing instruments were tested on 1283 adult patients (of 3173 initially approached for the study) at two primary care clinics run by the US Department of Veterans Affairs health care system for ex-military personnel. Patients were almost all men and averaged 62 years of age. In interviews with researchers, 19% of participants reported in the last 12 months having used illicit drugs or prescription medications for non-medical purposes, and 15% reported at least one negative

Screen of Drug Use (SoDU)

- 1. "How many days in the past 12 months have you used drugs other than alcohol?" If 7 or more days, the SoDU is positive for drug use disorder and negative consequences; skip item 2.
- 2. "How many days in the past 12 months have you used drugs more than you meant to?" If 2 or more days then SoDU is positive for drug use disorder and negative consequences.

consequence of this use. Just over 1 in 10 met criteria for a drug use disorder (abuse or dependence) according to the US diagnostic system.

The sample was randomly divided in two. The first half were used to test candidate items drawn from existing screening instruments in order to develop the new instrument, the second half to validate the new instrument. In each case the assessments made by the screening questions were benchmarked against more comprehensive assessments made by using already validated questionnaires.

The resulting screening instrument had two questions, the second only needing to be asked if the response to the first had not been indicative of a drug use disorder or negative consequences ▶ panel above. Among the second half of the sample used to validate the instrument, it correctly identified 92% of the patients diagnosed by a more comprehensive assessment as having a drug use disorder, and correctly did not indicate a disorder for 93% of patients also found disorder-free by the more comprehensive tests. For negative consequences of drug use the corresponding proportions were 83% and 97%. When the Screen of Drug Use was re-answered by 100 patients a week later the results remained almost the same, indicating that the results are stable over time. Accuracy was maintained for subgroups of the sample divided by age, sex, racial or ethnic background, marital status, educational level, and whether suffering post-traumatic stress disorder.

The analysts concluded that SoDU two-item screening instrument for drug use has excellent accuracy and reliability, and provides a way to briefly screen for drug use disorders and problems suitable for busy US Department of Veterans Affairs primary care clinics. However, the sample was mainly elderly men and the instrument may not work as well in other types of primary care populations.

FINDINGS COMMENTARY It is important to remember that in this study patients were responding in confidence to researchers in a context in which their answers could have no bearing on their medical care, relationships with medical staff, or other aspects of their lives. Patients may be more reluctant to admit to frequent illegal drug use or misuse of medications to their doctors. Only a validation study in which the screening instrument is tested in conditions approximating normal practice can tell whether in these conditions it also accurately identifies problem drug use. This may be particularly important outside the context of the US military health service where deference to military authority and associated traditions and training may result in more truthful responses to sensitive questions than in other contexts.

Thanks for their comments on this entry in draft to Mick McManus, Alcohol Co-ordinator at the London Borough of Barking and Dagenham in England. Commentators bear no responsibility for the text including the interpretations and any remaining errors.

Last revised 16 October 2015. First uploaded 09 October 2015

- ▶ Comment/query to editor
- Give us your feedback on the site (two-minute survey)
- ▶ Open Effectiveness Bank home page
- ▶ Add your name to the mailing list to be alerted to new studies and other site updates

STORT 2013 Screening and prior intervendon for alcohol and other drug use in primary care, associations between organizational climate and practice

STUDY 2012 A randomized controlled trial of a brief intervention for illicit drugs linked to the Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) in clients recruited from primary health-care settings in four countries

DOCUMENT 2009 Management of cannabis use disorder and related issues: a clinician's guide

STUDY 2008 Screening, brief interventions, referral to treatment (SBIRT) for illicit drug and alcohol use at multiple healthcare sites: comparison at intake and 6 months later

DOCUMENT 2011 Buprenorphine/naloxone for opioid dependence: clinical practice guideline

STUDY 2009 Does implementation of clinical practice guidelines change nurses' screening for alcohol and other substance use?

STUDY 2010 Home- versus office-based buprenorphine inductions for opioid-dependent patients

 ${\tt DOCUMENT~2011~Guidance~for~the~use~of~substitute~prescribing~in~the~treatment~of~opioid~dependence~in~primary~care}\\$

 $STUDY\ 2012\ The\ forgotten\ carers:\ support\ for\ adult\ family\ members\ affected\ by\ a\ relative's\ drug\ problems$

DOCUMENT 2013 Delivering recovery. Independent expert review of opioid replacement therapies in Scotland

